

## READINGTON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF HEALTH MEETING

September 16, 2009 7:00 pm

Chair William C. Nugent called the meeting to order at 7:10 p.m. and announced that all laws governing the Open Public Meetings Act have been met and that this meeting has been duly advertised.

### Attendance Roll Call:

|                    |         |                   |         |             |         |
|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Christina Albrecht | present | William C. Nugent | present | Wendy Sheay | present |
| Jane Butula        | present | Tanya Rohrbach    | present | Donna Simon | present |
| Beatrice Muir      | present |                   |         |             |         |

**Also Present:** Board of Health Engineer, Ferriero Engineering, Inc. representative, John Hansen

**Not in Attendance:** Board of Health Attorney, Stanley T. Perlowski, Esq.  
Hunterdon County Dept. of Health representative, Debra Vaccarella

### APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

**1. Minutes** of August 19, 2009. (*-Rohrbach vote*).  
*Deferred to the 10/21/09 meeting*

### B. CORRESPONDENCE

**1. NJLBHA – Newsletter, Summer 2009.**

*Ms. Butula recommended reading the information regarding H1N1 to the other board members.*

**2. Letter dated 9/2/09 from EWMA** regarding Manzo Contracting Site, Oldwick.

**3. Letter dated 9/3/09 from ERM** regarding Holland Hitch, Whitehouse Station.

*Chair Nugent pointed out the reference to letters sent to adjoining properties, specific in the 3rd paragraph stating that copies of reports regarding the work will also be available to Readington Twp. upon request, and asked that Ms. Petzinger follow up on that; also request copies of the environmental reports.*

**4. Letter dated 8/24/09 from Kleinfelder East** regarding Exxon Service Station, Whitehouse Sta.

**5. Block 15/Lot 23 – NJDEP** - no further action letter.

**6. HCDH LINCS – dated 9/8/09 – INFORMATION – Funds for Hepatitis B Inoculation.**

*Ms. Butula stated that she would like this issue to be brought to the attention of Ms. Mekovetz, Ms. Butula would like to speak to Ms. Mekovetz about this after she is aware. This should be researched and put into place since workers had previously voiced an interest in this.*

**7. NJLM advisory – dated 8/20/09** Influenza Summit – 8/25/09.

**8. HCDH LINCS – dated 8/28/09 – Advisory – Surveillance for Vibrio Illness.**

**9. Suspected Hazardous Discharge Notification** letter dated 8/25/09 regarding oil heating #2.

**10. Memo dated 7/16/09 from Hatch Mott MacDonald** regarding NJDEP Freshwater Wetland LOI application for Readington Road CR 637.

**11. Letter dated 8/13/09 from Hatch Mott MacDonald** regarding NJDEP Flood Hazard and Wetland Permit approval Hunterdon County Bridge No. R-123.

**12. Block 96/Lot 1 – letter dated 8/27/09** from Hatch Mott MacDonald regarding WaWa Septic System Alteration.

*Ms. Butula asked Mr. Hansen if he had looked at these plans. Mr. Hansen stated that they had put a letter together on this, it is a drip dispersal system, the details will be discussed and covered at the time that this is before the board. There was a change to the fencing and some of the landscaping, some of the areas will be changed to gravel. At this point it may be required that a landscaping plan is submitted and then the township engineer may determine that if the changes are substantial enough it would require another board approval. Ms. Butula stated that she would be relying on the board engineer's expertise. Ms. Muir asked if this type of system has been used elsewhere in Hunterdon County, and how did the cost compare to other systems.*

*Mr. Hansen stated that Ms. Vaccarella would probably be better qualified to answer that, and the cost is above that of a regular system, there are a lot of working components to this system.*

*Chair Nugent thanked the HCHD for the status report in advance for the following.*

### C. SEPTIC REPAIRS (*HCHD status in italics*).

**1. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 48/26.37.** *No work done as of 9/10/09*

2. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 45.02/78. *Final Field 8/27/09*
3. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 45.02/1.04. *Final Field 8/27/09*
4. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 46/10.10. *Final Field 8/27/09*
5. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 63/12. *No work done as of 9/10/09*  
*Ms. Butula asked what the reason would be that this would happen, since it is only 2 years old. Chair Nugent stated this may have been put in when there was a choice as to whether the riser was up or down.*
6. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 64/37.19. *Final Field 9/9/09*  
*Carried from the 8/19/09 meeting:*
7. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 53/L 7.01. *No work done as of 9/10/09*
8. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 67.01/L 19.32. *Final Field 8/14/09*

#### **D. OLD BUSINESS**

##### **1. Partnership –**

*Ms. Butula stated that they were just made aware of a grant for prevention resources with the partnership. The emphasis will be on underage drinking which is a problem in Hunterdon County.*

##### **2. Flu Clinics – Seasonal flu.**

*There was some discussion of the flu clinics. Dates and times are available through the County website [co.hunterdon.nj.us/health.htm](http://co.hunterdon.nj.us/health.htm)*

#### **E. NEW BUSINESS**

##### **1. Rabies Clinic – Saturday, October 24, 2009, 9:00 – 11:00, at Three Bridges Firehouse.**

*Ms. Petzinger stated that a free clinic will be held on the above date and time.*

#### **F. APPROVALS**

##### **Category A. – Single Lots**

*Heard @ 7:40 p.m.:*

##### **1. Block 96/Lot 5 – ACT Engr., Mead,.**

Escrow fees paid 8/11/09, Ck# 1535, \$750.00

Mr. Doug Fine, ACT Engineering, NJ licensed engineer appeared before the board. This application is for Mr. Greg Mead, Block 96/Lot 5. This is a malfunctioning septic system. There may be a second system on the property, which will be determined during installation, if there is a second system, it will be abandoned as well. This proposal is a septic system alteration, no expansion for a 3 bedroom home. 3 soil logs were performed on 6/22/09 and 6/23/09, soil log 1 was a pit bail test with slight to moderate seepage, mottles at 31", a final K rate of 20.25"/hour performed at 95", dug to machine refusal at 100". The second soil log exhibited similar soil conditions, a gravelly shale with good seepage in the same vicinity, 71", 70" in the first log. A third soil log was dug, machine refusal was at 89".

Mr. Greg Mead, owner of the property stated that during the wet, rainy times, water would gather on top of the solids tank.

Mr. Fine stated that they had cameraed the system and found it to be completely under water. The system was a single gravel line trench. The proposed system is a two compartment tank, 1300 gallons which gives much better separation. An effluent filter is included to help retain solids within the septic tank, typically most filters are about \$100., it is a fail safe, if the tank isn't pumped, this filter will clog. An alarm is an option. The maintenance is every 2 or 3 years.

There was some discussion of the life of the system.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Butula for approval for Block 96/Lot 5, 623 Old York Rd., 3 bedroom home, an alteration with no expansion. The map is named Septic System Design for Block 96/Lot 5, dated 7/22/09, pages 1 – 12, revision 8/7/09, prepared by Douglas E. Fine, licensed engineer. The surveyor is David M. Newton, licensed professional land surveyor, topographical survey done 7/23/09. Hunterdon County Dept. of Health report dated 8/19/09. This is a mounded, soil replacement, pressure dosed system. For the primary, soil log 1, 6/22/09, @ 100", mottling at 31", seepage @ 70", after 24 hours, seepage @ 65 1/4". Soil log 2,

6/22/09, @ 100", mottling at 31", seepage @ 71", after 24 hours, seepage @ 66". Pit bail 1 done 6/22/09 @ 7.92', resulting 20.25"/hour. Regional water determined by soil logs 1 and 2 with mottling @ 31". This system will have an effluent filter, the maintenance requirements include a pump system including a deed restriction, which is filed with the Hunterdon County Clerk, a copy is to be filed with the Board secretary within 90 days. Notes 1 and 2 on page 2 of 12 indicate no on or offsite wetland buffers that would impact the system. There are no neighboring wells or septic within 150' of this proposed disposal field. The revision, 8/7/09 was on page 2 of 12 designated Septic System Design, in response to the Hunterdon County Health Dept. noting a possible second tank, which if found will be crushed and abandoned if present.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Albrecht, on roll call vote, the following was recorded:

|              |     |              |     |           |     |              |     |
|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Ms. Albrecht | Aye | Ms. Muir     | Aye | Ms. Sheay | Aye | Chair Nugent | Aye |
| Ms. Butula   | Aye | Ms. Rohrbach | Aye | Ms. Simon | Aye |              |     |

*Heard @ 8:00 p.m.:*

**2. Block 38/Lot 85 – Mantz Engr., LFP Holdings, Route 22 East.**

Escrow fees paid 5/21/09, Ck# 6437, \$750.00

Mr. James Mantz, NJ licensed engineer and land surveyor appeared before the board. This is an application for a septic system design for Block 38/Lot 85, the property is located at the southwest intersection of Route 22 and Sophie St. The property has an existing dwelling and garage. During testing of the functionality of the septic system it was found that there was only a single lateral. Eight weeks of ground water monitoring was done so that the home could accommodate 4 bedrooms. Soils testing was done in February, soil logs 1 and 2, 3 and 4. The reserve is not required due to the lot size being less than 1.5 acres, it is 0.608 acres. Soil log 1, at the south easterly corner of the property, high water was encountered at 50", soil log 2 at 99.5". The other soil logs showed no seepage or groundwater. The system was designed at 50" due to the regional zone of saturation. The neighboring wells have been identified on the map, with 100' radius. This will be a pump system in order to keep the zone of saturation at 50" rather than 99.5" with soil log 3. Pit bail rates were 0.32"/hour in soil log 3, and 0.38"/hour in soil log 1.

There was some discussion of the design being based on 50".

There was some discussion of the perk test results, which were abandoned.

Chair Nugent stated there had been septic repair forms on file dated February 2009, and asked if the testing done was in virgin soil, and if any previous notice of violation would impact the proposed system?

Mr. Mantz stated yes, the testing was done in virgin soil, and no, it would not impact the design of the proposed system.

Chair Nugent asked if the applicant was fully aware of the pump system deed restriction and maintenance requirements.

Mr. Mantz stated that he is fully aware of the requirements.

Chair Nugent asked if there were any other questions from the board.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Butula for approval for Block 38/Lot 85 from a map named Septic System Design Plan for Block 38/Lot 85, 3601 East Hwy. 22, Readington Twp., Hunterdon County, NJ, dated 5/19/09. This was prepared by James J. Mantz, licensed professional engineer and land surveyor. The survey was done 5/16/09. Reports from Ferriero Engineering are dated 6/20/09, 7/23/09, and 8/26/09. Letters from Mr. Mantz are dated 7/2/09, 8/17/09. This is an alteration for a malfunctioning system with expansion from 3 to 4 bedrooms. On the plans submitted there are no wells within 100' of this proposed system and no existing septic systems on any adjoining property with 50' of this proposed septic system. Mr. Mantz certified that there are no wetlands on this property or on the adjoining properties. For the primary, and the only one considered by this board, is soil log 3, 2/9/09, @ 157", no mottling, seepage @ 130", after 24 hours flooding @ 121". Soil log 4 @ 136", no mottling, no groundwater. Permeability was pit bail 2, @ 139", 2/10/09, results 0.32"/hour. In season ground water monitoring done 2/13/09 – 4/10/09. On 2/13/09 in soil log 3, groundwater reached 99.5"/hour. Regional water for the primary is determined by the monitoring in soil log 3 on 2/13/09 @ 99.5". This property is 0.60 acres and does not require a reserve system at this time. This board is not considering any of the soil data other than what was stated for the primary even though they were identified on the map, soil logs 1 and 2 are not being considered or approved.

There is a pump being used for this system, Mr. Mantz has testified that his client is aware of it. Mr. Mantz will take responsibility for filing with the Hunterdon County Clerk as a deed restriction and that a copy will be given to the Board secretary within 60 days. The information will be handed out this evening and should be forwarded to the applicant.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Simon, on roll call vote, the following was recorded:

|              |     |              |     |           |     |              |     |
|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Ms. Albrecht | Aye | Ms. Muir     | Aye | Ms. Sheay | Aye | Chair Nugent | Aye |
| Ms. Butula   | Aye | Ms. Rohrbach | Aye | Ms. Simon | Aye |              |     |

*Heard @ 8:35 p.m.:*

**3. Block 13/Lot 70 – Bayer-Risse Engr., Puhalka, Cedar Road.**

Escrow fees paid 8/26/09, Ck# 7949, \$750.00

Mr. Bill Jupinka, Bayer-Risse Engineering, NJ licensed engineer appeared before the board.

This application is an alteration for a 3 bedroom home, no expansion or change in use. The current system is a 500 gallon septic tank and 2 clay laterals which are undersized and packed with sludge. The home is 50+ years old, the entire system needs to be revamped, the property is 2.7 acres. 7 soil logs and 1 basin flood were done, the soil logs done near the home, soil logs 714-1 through 714-4, the soil is fractured, but very tight. The basin flood had minimal water movement, shallow refusal. 714-5 was a little better, but still very tight, no water movement. Soil logs 714-6 and 714-7 the soil was fractured and not tight, the water moved through better than anywhere else. There was mottling 28" was the highest, so that is the regional water table. A pit bail was performed at 68", with a 24 hour static water level of 54". There are no wetlands or transition areas on the property, or on neighboring properties, the nearest well and disposal system is marked on sheet 3 of 11.

The proposal is for a mounded pump 2 compartment tank with an effluent filter.

Ms. Butula asked Mr. Jupinka if the homeowner was aware of the pump system requirements.

Mr. Jupinka stated that he had had a discussion with the homeowner, and they were well aware of the requirements and associated deed restrictions.

Chair Nugent asked if the existing system was malfunctioning.

Mr. Jupinka stated yes.

There was some discussion of the soil changes on the property.

Chair Nugent asked if the board had any further questions.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Butula for approval for Block 13/Lot 70, a 3 bedroom home at 36 Readington Road. This is from a map entitled Septic System Alteration Design for Jennifer Puhalka, Block 13/Lot 70, Readington Twp., Hunterdon County, NJ, pages 1 – 11, prepared by Stephen M. Risse, licensed engineer. Mr. Bill Jupinka, licensed engineer is appearing for this application. The topographic survey is done by Ryan Warford, licensed land surveyor, done 7/29/09. There is a County report dated 9/2/09. This is for an alteration with no expansion for a presently malfunctioning system. This will be a mounded soil replacement pressure dosed system. For the primary, the soil testing was done on 7/14/09, soil log 714-06 @ 82", mottling 28 – 34", seepage @ 42", soil log 714-07 @ 94", mottling 30 – 41", seepage @ 62", the permeability test is 714-1 @ 68", results K0.8"/hour. Regional water is determined by soil log 714-06 with mottling @28". This system is incorporating an effluent filter and will require a pump. The notes on page 4 of 11, 1. is based upon field observations and verified by NJDEP iMap, the proposed septic system design does not encroach upon any wetlands or wetland transition areas. Note 2. there are no neighboring disposal systems within 50', or wells within 100' of the proposed disposal system. The homeowners acknowledge that the pump will need a deed restriction. The applicant is aware of the maintenance for the effluent filter and the deed restriction and maintenance for the pump system. The engineer should make sure that the client is aware that they have to file the deed with the Hunterdon County Clerk, and that a copy has to get back to the Board Secretary within 90 days, the material should be forwarded from the Secretary to their client.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Albrecht, on roll call vote, the following was recorded:

|              |     |              |     |           |     |              |     |
|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Ms. Albrecht | Aye | Ms. Muir     | Aye | Ms. Sheay | Aye | Chair Nugent | Aye |
| Ms. Butula   | Aye | Ms. Rohrbach | Aye | Ms. Simon | Aye |              |     |

Chair Nugent noted that there was a typo of the lot # on the application form which had been noted and corrected from Lot 17 to the correct Lot number 70.

*Heard @ 8:48 p.m.:*

**4. Block 25/Lot 54 – Frey Engr., Menck-Praskac, Round Valley Rd.**

Escrow fees paid 6/25/09, Ck# 1060, \$750.00

Mr. Leo Frey, NJ licensed engineer, and Mr. Sullivan, Frey Engineering, appeared before the board.

Chair Nugent stated that there was some question previously as to whether this application was to be deemed an alteration with expansion or not, the Board engineer supported the applicant engineer in that this was not an alteration with expansion. Part of the consideration was that there were two houses, two systems, and the proposal of combining them both into one. There was considerable communication on how this application would be reviewed. The Board engineer has supported the contention that this is not an alteration with expansion, it is truly an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system. This was a very unique situation, and a little difficult to get through resulting in delaying the decision, for which apologies are extended.

Mr. Frey stated this is a piece of property with two houses on it, two systems, both antiquated, and failing. Both are malfunctioning, having periodic break out at the surface. One house is a four bedroom, the other called the 'cottage house' is a one bedroom. There is a description of the two flows required for both, on the document "Attachment A". There are no wetlands or wetland transition areas near the proposed system, and the nearest septic system or potable well is over 225' from the proposed system. Page 2 indicates an overview of taking the two systems and combining them into one bed that meets the current design standards, they are looking for no variances. The soil logs were good, no mottling, this property is 1.42 acres, it is a malfunctioning alteration, therefore, a reserve area is not required. This is a fill enclosed gravity system, slightly mounded to the back. Towards the north of the property is one of the geological formations that contains the reservoir, it is a steep slope going up in the back. The proposed design is looking to combine the effluent into one tank. The design is 650 gallons for the main house, and 350 gallons for the cottage house, totaling 1,000 gallons/day. There was some discussion of the two systems being replaced with one, and any complications that may arise from commingling the effluent from the two residences prior to the septic tank.

Mr. Frey stated that there wasn't room on the property to replace the two malfunctioning systems with two new systems. Since they are both residential homes, there were no issues foreseen that would occur. The usage will remain the same as previously, there would be no increase to the quantity of effluent.

Chair Nugent asked if the proposed system included an effluent filter.

Mr. Frey stated yes, the filter that is specified under General Notes Proposed Septic Tank, item # 3, a polylock effluent filter, page 17 of 20 can go 3 – 4 years, and is usually inspected at pump out. At that time it is either washed out, or replaced. The owner is aware of the need for a pump out, and also a filter and baffle inspection. There was some discussion of the two wells on the property.

Mr. Sullivan stated that the original hand dug well was decommissioned on 7/14/09 by D & L Well Drilling. The County has a copy of the permit, a copy will be provided to the BOH office.

Chair Nugent asked if the board had any further questions.

A **MOTION** for approval was made by Ms. Butula for Block 25/Lot 54, from site plan entitled Septic System Alteration Design for Menck Residence, 6 Round Valley Road, Readington Twp., Hunterdon County, NJ.

This is a site that has 2 residences on it, one has four bedrooms, one has one bedroom, referred to as a cottage. This is a proposal to remove 2 separate existing malfunctioning because of breakout. The proposed system is to service both residences and is designed as such. This plan is dated 6/18/09, with revisions 7/2/09, prepared by Leo Frey III, licensed professional engineer in NJ. The survey was prepared by Thomas Yager on 5/19/09. Reports from the County are dated 6/29/09, 7/10/09. Letters from Frey Engineering are dated 7/8/09, 7/14/09. This is an alteration for a malfunctioning system with no expansion, fill enclosed slightly mounded system, gravity fed, 1.42 acre property, with an effluent filter. The owners are in attendance and are aware of all of the maintenance requirements for the effluent filter. Soil log 1, 4/16/09, @ 120", groundwater 65" and below. The 24 hour ground water reading was 47.5", classified as flooding. Soil log 2 @ 120", groundwater at 38" and below. The permeability test is pit bail @ 73" done 4/16/09, results of K=2.15 in/hr. Regional water is determined by soil log 2 @ 38". Testimony from the engineer is that there are no wetlands present in the area of the proposed system. There is also a note that there are no neighboring wells or septic systems

within 225' of the proposed system. There was an old well that was sealed, proof of which was sent to the County on 7/14/09.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Rohrbach, on roll call vote, the following was recorded

|              |     |              |     |           |     |              |     |
|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Ms. Albrecht | Aye | Ms. Muir     | Aye | Ms. Sheay | Aye | Chair Nugent | Aye |
| Ms. Butula   | Aye | Ms. Rohrbach | Aye | Ms. Simon | Aye |              |     |

*Heard @ 9:15 p.m.:*

**5. Block 38/Lot 38.02 –LAN Associates Engr., DiDonato, Coddington Road**

Escrow fees paid 2/14/08, Ck# 1781, \$750.; 1/7/09, Ck# 2055, \$750.  
Previously heard 7/15/09.

Chair Nugent stated that it should be noted that this application was previously heard on July 15, 2009.

Mr. Rich Wostbrock, NJ licensed engineer with LAN Associates appeared before the board. Some background on this project is that LAN Associates was brought on board initially as a sub to do the work on the septic application only. They are currently working for the homeowners directly, and are no longer subcontracted. Mr. Wostbrock stated that it was his understanding from the last meeting that there were 4 issues that needed to be addressed. Their response is somewhat unique in that it depended upon a response from the NJDEP. The four issues were 1) well test provided at the previous meeting needed to specify which well 2) should the existing well be tested for coliform at this point 3) POET treatment system 4) resume of their wetlands expert. Starting with the 4<sup>th</sup>, the resume was submitted. Regarding the POET system, the house is currently unoccupied, it had a POET system, and the applicant is fully aware of what that is, and will install one in the new house. Regarding testing the well for bacterial coliform, the Hunterdon County Dept. of Health said that the well has been sitting idle for at least a year, so it will probably come up negative, and may be made a condition of approval to be tested at the appropriate time, before it is put in operation. Regarding installing a new well, it was advised by email from NJDEP that a new well shouldn't be drilled. The well that was tested was the one closest to the house. The well that is currently noted on the plan to be abandoned should be corrected to say "to remain". Their goal is to reuse that well, because that was the one that was tested and is functioning. What they have determined is that the other well, has actually already been abandoned and sealed.

Chair Nugent stated that on the map dated 12/12/07, revision 4/20/09, what is currently annotated in the top left hand corner "existing well to remain" should actually be labeled something like "well already abandoned".

Mr. Wostbrock stated that is correct.

Ms. Butula asked if it was closed by a well driller in the proper fashion.

Mr. Wostbrock stated he didn't have a record of it.

Ms. Butula stated that that should be validated, it has to be done correctly.

Chair Nugent asked Mr. Wostbrock "So you are saying by contrast the well that is marked "Existing well to be abandoned" is actually the existing well to remain.

Mr. Wostbrock stated that his correct.

Chair Nugent asked if that in fact the one that was tested.

Mr. Wostbrock stated that is correct. What that means is that it is greater than 100' from the proposed primary field, but it is less than 100' from the secondary field, so they would need to seek a variance for less than 100' and it is approximately 60' from the secondary field. It is the secondary field where in a typical scenario shouldn't be touched in 20 years, in that timeframe, hopefully NJDEP would change their regs and there would be some help in this area. The justification for the variance is that there is no anticipation to need that secondary field in the near future, similar to verification that the sealed well is in fact sealed appropriately, the same well driller could verify that it is cased to at least 50', which would allow it to meet state standards.

Ms. Butula asked Mr. Wostbrock if he had stated what the exact footage from the primary to the well that is going to remain is? What is the casing on the well?

Mr. Wostbrock stated it is greater than 100'. It is scaled to be 127'. The secondary field for the well is scaled as 60'. The casing is a reasonable condition, they need a well driller to verify that the abandoned well is in fact sealed properly. Since this well has been sitting long enough, it needs to be tested, but it would be prudent to have it tested for yield. With the well driller there, he could do it all at the same time.

Ms. Butula stated that 50' of casing is a requirement, it is a reserve, but still necessary to know.

Chair Nugent stated that the board does not give conditional approvals.

Ms. Butula asked if Mr. Wostbrock had an opinion after receiving Mr. Bakeman's reply.

Mr. Wostbrock stated that they did not feel the need to verify this with anyone else, they have shared all of this information with the owner, and asked that they attend the meeting tonight, unfortunately, they were unable to.

Ms. Butula stated that the board would like the opinion of their hydrogeologist, and that would give the applicant time to submit information for the board to grant a waiver.

Chair Nugent stated that the board was looking to find out if being the engineer on the project, did Mr. Wostbrock have a feeling about what was said by Mr. Bakeman, and if so, would he express it.

Mr. Wostbrock said okay.

Chair Nugent asked if Mr. Hansen would review and give an opinion of the email from Mr. Bakeman.

Mr. Hansen stated he would look at it.

Chair Nugent stated regarding the well tests presented, if Mr. Wostbrock could provide something in writing about the well closest to the house, the revised map, and also the abandoned well certification, or something on that, but most importantly, the necessity for a variance regarding the set back requirements to the existing well to the proposed reserve area. The application coversheet will need to be revised. The variance request will only effect this piece of property and does not impact adjoining properties, and therefore, would not require noticing. That requirement will be waived at the meeting. For future applications, the board prefers to have the information ahead of time for reviewing.

Chair Nugent stated that the items that the board is looking for have been annotated, there has been a reference to forwarding the correspondence from the state to the board's hydrogeologist for any input from him as well. And the board has asked their engineering firm to review it also. Chair stated he is hopeful that if Mr. Wostbrock is able to provide information about the well casing, and the revisions, the abandonment of the well, and no contrary concerns expressed by the board hydrogeologist or engineer regarding the recommendations from the state, which are not expected, but tentatively this application could be heard and approved next month.

Mr. Wostbrock stated he would speak to his client regarding the well driller, and try to proceed, he is clear that the board is asking for proof that the well is sealed and proof of the depth of casing of the remaining well, revised plans reflecting what they are asking for, a letter regarding the origin of the well sampling, and an update of the application.

Chair Nugent stated that the next application scheduled had been Block 4/Lot 15, which was postponed to October 21, 2009 by the applicant's engineer.

**6. Block 4/Lot 15 – Templin, Roberts, Route 22 West**

Escrow fees paid 4/2/08, Ck# 2853, \$750.00

**G. ADJOURNMENT**

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Muir to adjourn at 9:45 pm, seconded by Ms. Rohrbach with a vote of Ayes all, Nays, none recorded.

Respectfully submitted:

Lorraine Petzinger  
Board of Health Secretary