

READINGTON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF HEALTH MEETING

September 19, 2007 7:00 pm

Chair William C. Nugent called the meeting to order at 7:17 pm and announced that all laws governing the Open Public Meetings Act have been met and that this meeting has been duly advertised.

Attendance Roll Call:

Christina Albrecht	absent	Raymond Facinelli	present	William C. Nugent	present
Daniel Allen	absent	Beatrice Muir	present	Wendy Sheay	present
Jane Butula	present@ 7:55				

Also Present: Board of Health Engr: Ferriero Engr., representative Mr. John Hansen @ 7:57
Board of Health Attorney: Marisa A. Taormina, Esq.

Chair Nugent stated that the following applications will not be heard:

- 1. Block 25/Lot 12.01** – Beardslee Engr., Henriksen, Mountain Rd.
This applicant has requested to be carried to the the 10/17/07 agenda
- 2. Block 48.01/26.25** – Bohren & Bohren, Kuo, S. Honeyman Rd.
This applicant has withdrawn from this agenda.
- 4. Block 65/Lot 7**– Mantz Engr., Orlando, Barley Sheaf Rd.
This applicant was withdrawn from this agenda.

A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- 1. Minutes** of August 15, 2007. (-Albrecht, Allen, Facinelli vote).
Deferred to the October 17, 2007 BOH meeting.

B. CORRESPONDENCE

- 1. Suspected Hazardous Discharge Notification** letter dated 8/9/07 regarding heating oil at 34 Witherspoon St.
- 2. Suspected Hazardous Discharge Notification** letter dated 8/16/07 regarding heating oil at 900 Route 202.
- 3. Suspected Hazardous Discharge Notification** letter dated 8/23/07 regarding heating oil at 4 Logan Way.
- 4. HCHD LINCS** – dated 8/24/07 Public Health Info –Animal Cruelty and Control Courses.
Noted.
- 5. HCHD LINCS** – dated 8/20/07 Public Health Alert – Request for Information – Pet Treats.
Noted. Ms. Sheay noted the timely notification from HCHD. There was some discussion of receiving this information by email and fax.
- 6 HCHD LINCS** – dated 8/30/07 Public Health Update – Outbreak of Salmonella.
Noted. Ms. Muir stated that HCHD may want to do a news release to the Democrat and the Review.
- 7. NALBOH** – Action Steps - Tobacco Use Prevention and Control.
Chair Nugent noted this thorough and well written document.
- 8. Block 48/Lot 21.09** – NJDEP letter dated 8/17/07 – UST.
- 9. Block 27/Lot 34 , Block 28/Lot 5** – Freshwater wetlands application checklist dated 8/3/07.
- 10. Block 32/Lot 68** – HCHD complaint letter dated 8/29/07.
- 11. Block 31/Lot 8** – NJDEP remedial investigation report.
- 12. Block 5.11/Lot 2.01** – 8/31/07 letter from Gladstone Design re: site plan and subdivision approval.
- 13. Block 5.11/Lot 2.01** - Freshwater wetlands application checklist, Branchburg, Somerset Cty.
- 14. Block 5.11/Lot 2.01** – General Permit 11 and Minor Stream Encroachment Permit Application.
- 15. Block 12.01/Lot 14.01** – NJDEP – Transition Area Waiver-Avg. Plan Approval.
- 16. Block 12.01/Lot 14.01** – memo dated 9/5/07 - H. Clay McEldowney - Transition Area Waiver-Avg. Plan Approval, GP8 Withdrawal.

C. SEPTIC REPAIRS (*HCHD status in italics*).

1. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 71/L 4. *Final Field 8/2/07*
 2. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 48/L 21.14. *Final Field 8/30/07*
 3. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 70/L 31.21. *Final Field 8/29/07*
 4. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 70/L 38.15. *Final Field 8/31/07*
 5. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 44/L 24. *No work done as of 9/10/07*
- Carried from the 8/15/07 meeting:*
6. Septic System Repair Approval from HCHD, B 57/L 5.01. *Final Field 8/8/07*

D. OLD BUSINESS

1. **CHIP – Community Health Improvement Plan** – Board of Health contribution per Township Committee approval.

Chair Nugent stated a BOH contribution would be pursued. There was some discussion of the amount to give. Ms. Butula had offered to give some background to the committee.

2. **Anderson House follow up - 8/22/07 email from Peter Enea, HCHD.**

Mr. Enea advised that after a few occasions of rain, there hadn't been a problem. It is the boards' understanding that monitoring of the situation will continue.

3. **Revised Application for Approval.**

Chair noted that Ms. Petzinger has assembled the revised application form with input from Ms. Taormina. Chair suggested that the engineer's email address be added. Ms. Petzinger stated for clarity an item will be added to include 14 copies of all revisions and updates.

Chair Nugent clarified with Ms. Taormina that the term 'applicant's signature' is actually the homeowner. Ms. Taormina stated the applicant could actually be the potential buyer, or an executor, it could be someone that is not the owner of record. It may be advisable to keep the application as is, and let the term 'applicant's signature' cover a broad definition.

Ms. Muir stated that the board has the option to question and clarify the signature and status during the applicant's hearing.

Ms. Taormina stated the facts that are requested on the form are not such that someone would not be able to answer. This certification relates to any information on the top sheet. Any subsequent information on the designs, soil logs, etc. is covered by the engineer's testimony.

4. **BOH Education** – Discuss content of Soil Witness' presentation to Board.

Ms. Sheay stated this is a revision of the education plan.

Chair Nugent complimented Ms. Sheay on the content.

Ms. Sheay stated the following links had been added to the list: <https://njlmn.rutgers.edu/> and https://njlmn.rutgers.edu/jsp/cat2/crs_info.jsp?ofrdate=2007-01-01&ofrtime=10:00-11&crs=12674

A video and binder entitled "Protecting the Health of the People", which may have been distributed to some board members earlier is available.

Also the Board of Health soil witness Mr. Chalupa, has offered to accompany the board members on a field trip for a hands on "class".

E. New Business

1. **HCHD letter** dated 9/5/07 re: Interlocal Agreement for Public Health Services.

Chair Nugent asked Ms. Taormina to review this documentation, concentrating on anything that may be of concern to the board, including all the BOH ordinances.

The Committee counsel should be consulted to determine their standing on this contract.

Mr. Facinelli stated one concern would be the cost that the County has been paid in the past vs what this is outlining. Our CFO should be able to tell us what was paid last year, and whether or not it is more cost effective to hire someone as opposed to the county.

Ms. Muir stated it depends on what the services are, and whether or not the municipality takes it on as their own service.

Ms. Taormina stated to do the cost analysis, she would want a copy of the previous document.

Chair Nugent stated he would ask Ms. Taormina to track down that document, they are looking for the new contract to start January 1.

Chair Nugent asked that Ms. Petzinger provide communication to the Committee secretary, so that they are aware of it.

Ms. Muir stated having had some history, it is important that the Committee review this document.

F. APPROVALS

Category A. – Single Lots

The following applicant has requested by 9/11/07 correspondence to be carried to the 10/17/07 agenda.

1. Block 25/Lot 12.01 – Beardslee Engr., Henriksen, Mountain Rd.

Escrow fees paid 8/3/06. Check #1118 \$500.00.
Data mailed with 9/20/06 approval packet.

The following applicant has withdrawn from this agenda:

2. Block 48.01/26.25 – Bohren & Bohren, Kuo, S. Honeyman Rd.

Escrow fees paid 10/15/06. Check #6005 \$500.00.

3. Block 39/Lot 23.01– Hoffman, Commandini/Fearo, Pulaski Rd.

Escrow fees paid 8/27/07. Check #15901 \$500.00.

Chair Nugent stated that the surrounding properties of this applicant had been noticed. One neighbor had responded, was in the audience and had provided questions in writing. Mr. Hoffman had been copied on the list of questions, and was prepared to respond accordingly.

Mr. Kurt Hoffman, Kurt Hoffman Engineering, NJ licensed engineer appeared before the board. This application, Block 39/Lot 23.01, 113 Pulaski Road, was a sale parcel, during a failed home inspection of the septic system, Mr. Hoffman was hired to do soil logs testing and design of a new system. The name that is on the plan is the name of the homeowner today, that is how it was applied for. There were numerous soil logs done on the property with only one location being adequate. This location, requiring a variance is 13' from the main road rather than the 15' required setback. In every other aspect it meets state code NJC7:9A 100%. Per HCHD and the township's restrictions, the distances and pump restriction, and the toe of the mound at 1' from the property line are seeking approval.

Chair Nugent asked if the well had been tested, and variances considered.

Mr. Hoffman stated the distance to the well may be reduced if necessary, 50' of casing may go to 50' distance. A well driller would have to physically be present to determine that.

Chair Nugent stated the issues are: the proximity to the property line at 1'; the disposal bed is 13 instead of 15'; pump system requiring deed restriction; the use of the existing tank confirms to state code, not the BOH ordinance depending on whether this is an alteration with expansion or an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system. The existing tank falling within the 100' setback requirement, since it is a non expansion, would not fall into the portion of the code requiring it to be 100' away, because it is grandfathered in.

Chair Nugent asked Mr. Hansen, Ferriero Engineering to confirm that the existing tank at 75' distance from the well confirms to the ordinance because it is an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system.

Mr. Hansen stated that is correct.

Chair Nugent asked the board if they had any questions, if not would Mr. Hoffman respond to the questions submitted by the adjoining property owner?

Mr. Hoffman stated the following 9 questions were submitted by Mrs. Rogus 3 Glenmont Rd. Block 39/Lot 21.21. General details are that the septic field itself is 59' from the Rogus' property line. The toe of slope is approximately 15' shy of that to the property line so it is approximately 34'.

1. What are the predicted and calculated impacts on my existing drainage field during very heavy rains? Mr. Hoffman stated absolutely none. The topography of this property contours 198 and 198 through the pool area, and 198 through Glenmont Road and extending through the neighbors property. Technically where the septic system is, is the highest spot on this parcel of property any rainfall or water runoff from this system is going to run toward Glenmont Rd., or toward the westerly property boundary.

2. What assumptions were used with respect to the rainfall impact and soil drainage conditions, including worst case conditions? Mr. Hoffman stated realistically there is none, there are poor soils here, indicated by the number of soil logs done. Soil log 2 was a more damp area of the yard. There definitely are drainage problems indicative of the soils on this parcel of property.

3. How do these rainfall assumptions compare with those that have been experienced during recent hurricanes, including Floyd? Mr. Hoffman stated they are perpetuating the same, it makes no impact on his neighboring property. The state code takes nothing into consideration. Mr. Hansen can probably relate to the existing conditions there, you have a grass condition, going back to grass when it is all done so the runoff coefficient is the same from day 1 until this is done. There is no increase in runoff from the property. A drop of water is going to do what it did before.

4. What is the drainage impact if I have to move my existing field further toward the end of my property line closer to these lines? Will I be required to seek an alternate plan? Mr. Hoffman stated being 59' away from the property line there is no impact, the state requires 50' between 2 systems, if the town approved it they could put it right up against the property line. There is zero impact on it his distance from a lot line to the proposed system that is here. The system is actually further away from his property than as it exists today.

Chair Nugent stated that is a good point, the new system is inclusive of its height and the toe, is further from the property line in question than the existing system is today.

5. Will I be permitted to move my field closer to the property line as a result of this variance?

Mr. Hoffman stated this variance is not going to impact anything that he would have to do in the future.

6. Where will the drainage field or lines be located with respect to my property line?

Mr. Hoffman stated 59' from the property line on the easterly property line closest to Glenmont Rd.

7. What is the impact of this variance on my ability to sell my property in the future?

Mr. Hoffman stated the variance should not do anything.

8. Please provide a scaled drawing showing proposed locations and closest discharge point to my property line. Mr. Hoffman stated he would have no problem providing a copy of the plan.

Chair Nugent noted that the plans are also on file at the Board of Health office for review.

9. If approved, please provide certified as build drawing confirming compliance to variance.

Mr. Hoffman stated there is no problem in providing a copy of this.

Chair Nugent stated the as built drawings are on file at the Hunterdon County Health Department, as a matter of public record.

Chair Nugent asked Mrs. Rogus to step up to the podium.

Mrs. Karen Rogus introduced herself, and stated that the street address is 3 Glenmont Road, Block 39/Lot 21.21.

Chair Nugent asked if the questions and concerns had been answered sufficiently.

Mrs. Rogus stated yes, to her satisfaction, however, Mr. Rogus was unable to attend the meeting.

Chair Nugent stated any questions to the board could be directed to the Board of Health secretary, and would either be answered, or forwarded to himself.

Chair Nugent recapped the outstanding issues are the waiver from the HCHD requirement for the 1' distance from the toe of the mound to the property line; the 13' from the property line instead of 15', requiring a waiver.

Ms. Taormina confirmed with Mr. Hoffman that he is a licensed engineer in the state of NJ, had prepared the design for the system and this is an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system, the proposed system is more in conformity with the state code than the current system, and in his professional opinion absent of abuse, will the proposed system provide long term satisfactory performance?

Mr. Hoffman stated yes, he is licensed in NJ since 2003, had prepared the design that is an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system that is more in conformity with the state code than the current system, and in his professional opinion absent of abuse, will provide long term satisfactory performance.

Ms. Butula stated that HCHD has asked that the engineer will inspect the grading to insure all work has been done on the property and has not caused any offsite water problems.

Chair Nugent asked if there were any other questions from the board.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Sheay for **approval** of Block 39/Lot 23.01, this is an alteration with no expansion to repair a malfunctioning system. The design will include upgrading the existing 1,000 gallon tank and disposal bed will be mounded soil replacement. Effluent distribution will be done by gravity dosing with a 1300 gallon pump. The applicant is aware of the deed restriction, filing, maintenance and recording with the installation and use of a pump. The street address is 117 Pulaski Road, applicant is Terrance Feron, engineer is Kurt Hoffman, from Kurt Hoffman Engineering. Two correspondences from HCHD dated 7/26/07 and 8/8/07. The map is entitled Septic Design for TJ Feron, dated 5/21/07, revision 8/1/07, noted per HCHD letter dated 7/26/07, surveyor is Nicholas Lebo, professional licensed surveyor. Two waivers will be granted, one in reference to HCHD setback policy for the toe of the mound to be 10', this will be 1'. The engineer will certify the inspection after the installation. There is also a waiver from BOH ordinance there is a setback distance for the disposal bed from property line to be 15', in this case it will be 13'. Two soil logs were done, soil log 4, 5/7/07, depth 98", mottling @ 28", seepage @ 66", zone of saturation is set at 28" due to mottling. Soil log 6, 5/7/07, depth 104", mottling @ 26", seepage @ 90", zone of saturation is set at 26" due to mottling. Permeability test, pit bail PB1 was done in soil log 4, 5/8/07, depth of 98", result is 1.33"/hour. One 24 hour ground water reading in soil log 4, reading 72".

A water tightness test will be done as a condition of using the existing septic tank.

This motion was seconded by Mr. Facinelli. On roll call vote the following was recorded:

Ms. Butula	Aye	Ms. Muir	Aye	Chair Nugent	Aye
Mr. Facinelli	Aye	Ms. Sheay	Aye		

Chair Nugent noted to Mrs. Rogus that the application had been approved, and they should free to call the Board of Health office with any questions.

The following applicant has been withdrawn from this agenda:

4. Block 65/Lot 7– Mantz Engr., Orlando, Barley Sheaf Rd.

Escrow fees paid 5/16/07. Check #14815 \$750.00.

5. Block 67/Lot 12– Hoffman, Cole, Readington Rd.

Escrow fees paid 7/20/07. Check #3890 \$750.00.

Mr. Kurt Hoffman, Kurt Hoffman Engineering, NJ licensed engineer appeared before the board. This is an alteration with expansion for Block 67/Lot 12, 120 Readington Road. Soil logs 1 – 4, a primary and reserve area as per Readington code was done based on the existing 3 bedroom dwelling being upgraded to a 4 bedroom, and the existing garage being fitted to a 2 bedroom apartment. A review letter from Ferriero has 6 General Comments, then goes into the soil logs. A soil survey was provided on 7/27/07. It is a moderate soil, item #2. 8 weeks of groundwater monitoring was done, due to mottling at the ground surface. Item #4. Witness notes suggest some ground water readings may have been compromised. Mr. Hoffman's testimony was that in retrospect they should have backfilled a little better around the observation pipes, but alternately, the water that did infiltrate on soil log 3, on 2/24/07, water levels were 97", 95", 91", 90".

On 3/30/07 it jumped up to 38", 35", 33", 36", that was the questionable week. The following week the elevations went back down to 96", 89", 88", 78".

Ms. Butula noted that Mr. Chalupas comments were in support of this documentation.

Chair Nugent stated this is another reason to do the eight weeks of testing.

Mr. Hoffman stated regarding the well data, they had the well tested and it came up clean.

There was some discussion of the well capacity. The well currently services just the house, but in the past, the house, dairy barn and church were all connected.

Mr. Hoffman stated future plans may include constructing an apartment in the barn.

Chair Nugent stated his only concern had been the well service line to the 2 bedroom apartment.

Mr. Hoffman stated in the event that an apartment was added to the barn, his plan was for the water line to

exit the house, and would not have to cross the septic line.

There was some discussion of the size of the proposed system and the number of bedrooms.

Mr. Hansen stated a point of clarification is that the connection can't be made to the septic system until all the zoning approvals for a 2 bedroom apartment have been secured. That may be part of the motion for approval, if the application is approved. They can build a larger bed in anticipation of one day connecting, but until all necessary approvals are obtained, they can't connect.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Butula for Block 67/Lot 12 for Mr. Robert Cole at 120 Readington Road. From a map named Septic Design for Robert Cole dated 5/7/07, prepared by Kurt Hoffman, a licensed engineer in NJ. The surveyor was Nicholas Lebo, licensed in NJ. Reports from Ferriero Engr. dated 7/17/07 and 8/27/07. This plan is to increase from 3 to 4 bedrooms with the possible 2 bedroom addition in the accessory building titled "Garage" on the plan. This will be a mounded system, select fill with a pump. For the primary, soil log 1 and 2, dated 1/17/07, soil log 1 @ 126", mottling 34-56", 56"-126", seepage at 108", flooding @ 116". Soil log 2 @ 126" had mottling from 36-54", 54-126", seepage @108", flooding @ 120". Permeability is pit bail 2 in soil log 1 @ 10.5' done on 1/18/07, results 11.08"/hour. In season ground water monitoring dates were 1/20/07 - 3/10/07 in soil log 1, soil log 2 with 3/3/07 soil log 2 having 35". The regional water for the primary was determined by the logs 34" in soil log 1. For the reserve area, soil log 3 and 4 done 1/17/07, soil log 3 @ 136" with mottling 32 - 136", seepage at 106" and flooding at 130". Soil log 4 @ 136", mottling 30-146", seepage @ 100", flooding @ 126". Pit bail 1 done in soil log 4, done @ 136" on 1/18/07, K-3.02"/hour. In season ground water monitoring was done from 1/20/07 - 3/10/07, regional ground water was determined by the logs 30" in soil log 4. A wetlands report from Jeff Tareila dated 5/23/07 based on a 1/8/07 inspection revealed no wetlands or transition areas were present. A water quality testing done 8/15/07 from QCLabs was negative for coliform. Mr. Hoffman will supply this board with a revised plan indicating the possible water line from the house to the garage where a possible 2 bedroom apartment will be built at a possible time. A restriction is that the implied water connection cannot be done until they have obtained all approvals from all the necessary boards in the Township of Readington. This system will require a pump, Mr. Hoffman shall make the homeowners knowledgeable of the instructions, and the fact that this will be deed restricted, must be filed with the County Clerk and a copy supplied to the Board of Health secretary within 90 days. There will be no connection to the septic system for the possible 2 bedroom apartment in the accessory building marked garage without approvals from all the necessary boards in Readington Township.

This motion was seconded by Mr. Facinelli. On roll call vote the following was recorded:

Ms. Butula	Aye	Ms. Muir	Aye	Chair Nugent	Aye
Mr. Facinelli	Aye	Ms. Sheay	Aye		

Chair Nugent asked that Ms. Petzinger follow up with Mr. Hoffman for the map.

6. Block 57/11.30 – DeMuro Engr.,Weglarz, Judge Thompson Rd.

Escrow fees paid 7/11/07. Check #9928 \$750.00.

Previously heard 8/15/07.

Mr. James DeMuro, DeMuro Associates, Somerville, NJ licensed engineer and surveyor appeared before the board with Mr. Weglarz. This application was heard at the August 15, 2007 meeting. The board secretary had asked that Mr. DeMuro provide the board with 14 copies of the revised sheet 1 of 3 dated 8/1/06, to correct the typo of 2 areas identified as soil log 3. Mr. DeMuro used the soil witness' drawing to identify the correct soil log locations. Using the depth to ground water at 24", the disposal area was refigured, and the height of the mound was lowered about 6 -8". As a follow up to the last meeting, Mr. Weglarz found out that his well is cased to 50', located in the front yard.

Mr. Weglarz introduced himself as the owner of the property. The HCHD had provided him with the data as requested at the last meeting, the sleeve on the well was at least 50'.

Ms. Butula stated Mr. DeMuro has indicated, signed and sealed the map that the well is 110' deep, 50' casing. Ms. Butula asked if they knew the location of the neighbors septic system.

Mr. DeMuro stated the neighboring well is in their front yard, closer to the road, the septic system is in back of the house. Lot 12 is a farm field in back of their homes.

There was some discussion of the basin floods that were done.

Mr. Hansen stated that this application had not been reviewed by Ferriero Engineering because it is a repair to a malfunctioning system, however if the indication is that the property of 1.7 acres is somewhat constrained, and testing has been done, the permeability being just short of passing per code, the board at some point has to look at the fact that they are doing the best that they can. As Mr. DeMuro is saying this is the best spot on the property and the board recognizes that they have exhausted their possibilities, then you go with what they have. The boundary survey indicates 3 soil logs in the back of the building, the well is in the front, the back yard has been covered pretty well.

Chair Nugent asked Mr. DeMuro to clarify the distance between the property line and the toe of the mound, and the property line and the septic bed.

Mr. DeMuro stated 15' for both, a total of 30'.

Ms. Taormina asked Mr. DeMuro to testify to the fact that he is a licensed engineer and surveyor in NJ, that he has prepared the design for the proposed system, that this is an alteration to correct a malfunctioning system, and in his professional opinion, did Mr. DeMuro believe that the proposed system is more in conformance with the code than the existing system, and with proper care would provide long term use.

Mr. DeMuro confirmed that all of the above statements were correct.

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Butula for **approval** for Block 57/Lot 11.30 from a map named Septic System Repair Plan for 8 Judge Thompson Rd. Block 57/Lot 11.30 dated 8/1/06, revisions 2/19/07, 5/21/07, 8/28/07, 9/19/07 prepared by Mr. James V. DeMuro, licensed engineer and surveyor in the state of NJ dated 8/1/06, revised 8/28/07 titled sheet 1 boundary and partial topographic map. HCHD reports are dated 8/11/06, 5/21/07, 9/17/07. This is an alteration with no expansion to solve a partially malfunctioning failing system. The primary done 8/15/05, soil log 1 @ 90" with mottling from 36 – 48". Soil log 3 done at 96" with mottling from 32 – 50". Regional water determined by soil log 3 @ 32". Permeability test in soil log 1, basin flood 1 @ 5.41', 8/15 – 8/16/2005. There is a failing report with the first flooding a 12" drop in 24 hours with 1" of water left in the bottom. On the second flooding a 12" drop with 5 gallons remaining. Testimony from the engineer which was taken into consideration. Also, remediation and all testimony from the soils engineer and design engineer indicate that this is the best possible spot for remediation.

The two copies of the soil log and permeability testing sealed by the testing engineer shall be submitted to the board secretary. This is based on the fact that Mr. DeMuro will submit to this board within 7 days, a revised drawing, giving information as to the distance of the septic of Lot 11.29. This approval will only become in effect when that revised map is in the hands of the board secretary, and showing the approved 50' minimum separation between the two beds. The homeowner is aware of all the conditions pertaining to the pump that will be installed on this. The deed restriction is filed at the County Clerks office and a copy filed with the BOH secretary within 90 days.

Chair Nugent asked if there were any further concerns or topics of discussion.

This motion was seconded by Mr. Facinelli. On roll call vote the following was recorded:

Ms. Butula	Aye	Ms. Muir	Aye	Chair Nugent	Aye
Mr. Facinelli	Aye	Ms. Sheay	Aye		

Category B. – Subdivisions

G. ADJOURNMENT

A **MOTION** was made by Mr. Facinelli to adjourn at 10:01 pm, seconded by Ms. Muir with a vote of Ayes all, Nays, none recorded.

Respectfully submitted:

Lorraine Petzinger
Board of Health Secretary